UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

ALBERT L. GRAY, ADMINISTRATOR, ET AL

VS

C. A. NO. 04 -312L

JEFFREY DERDERIAN, ET AL

GRAY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE OF DEFENDANT SUPERSTAR SERVICES, LLC

Now come the Plaintiffs in the Gray case and move, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f), to strike the affirmative defense pertaining to service of process asserted by Defendant Superstar Services, LLC in its answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Master Complaint. Specifically, Plaintiffs move to strike Defendant Superstar's sixth defense which asserts "insufficiency of service of process."

The reasons for Plaintiffs' motion are set forth in Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law which is filed herewith.

Plaintiff 13(d); 13(e); 17-63 inclusive; 133-190 inclusive; 225-233 inclusive;

and 240

By their Attorney:

Plaintiff 13(d); 13(e); 17-63 inclusive; 133-190 inclusive; 225-233 inclusive;

and 240

By their Attorney:

Max Wistow, Esq. (#0330)

WISTOW & BARYLICK INCORPORATED

61 Weybosset Street

Providence, RI 02903

Mark S. Mandell, Esq. (#0502)

MANDELL, SCHWARTZ &BOISCLAIR

One Park Row

Providence, RI 02903

¹ All Plaintiffs in <u>Gray, et al. v. Derderian, et al.</u>, C.A. No. 04-312L, by their separate counsel as indicated on the signature lines below.

Plaintiff 1-12 inclusive; 13(a)(b)(c); 14-16 inclusive; 80-132 inclusive; 222; and 223
By their Attorney:

Patrick T. Jones, Esq. (#6636) COOLEY MANION JONES, LLP 21 Custom House Street Boston, MA 02110

Plaintiff 64; 65(a); 66-68 inclusive; 192-195 inclusive By their Attorney:

Stephen E. Breggia, Esq. (#2865) BREGGIA, BOWEN & GRANDE 395 Smith Street Providence, RI 02908

Plaintiff 69; 70; and 199 By their Attorney:

Eva Marie Mancuso, Esq. (#3564)
HAMEL, WAXLER, ALLEN & COLLINS
387 Atwells Avenue
Providence, RI 02909

Plaintiff 65(b); 71-75 inclusive; 196-215, inclusive; 224; and 234-237, inclusive By their Attorney:

Michael A. St. Pierre, Esq. (#2553) REVENS, REVENS & ST. PIERRE 946 Centerville Road Warwick, RI 02886

Plaintiff 76-79, inclusive; 215-221, inclusive; and 238 By their Attorney:

Steven A. Minicucci, Esq. (#4155) CALVINO LAW ASSOCIATES 373 Elmwood Avenue Providence, RI 02907

Plaintiff 191 and 239 By their Attorney;

Charles N. Redihan, Esq. (#1810)
KIERNAN, PLUNKETT & REDIHAN
91 Friendship Street
Providence, RI 02903

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that an exact copy of the within document was electronically mailed to the following individuals on this 57H day of 0.05.

Thomas C. Angelone, Esq. C. Russell Bengtson, Esq. Gregory L. Boyer, Esq. Stephen E. Breggia, Esq.

angelonelaw@aol.com rbengtson@ckmlaw.com boyerlaw1@aol.com sbreggia@bbglaw.com Kevin F. Bowen, Esq. Joseph B. Burns, Esq. Mark D. Cahill, Esq. Eric Bradford Hermanson, Esq. Joseph V. Cavanagh, Jr., Esg. Kristin E. Rodgers, Esq. Edward M. Crane, Esq. Deborah Solmor, Esq. Brian Cunha, Esq. Karen A. Alegria, Esq. Anthony F. DeMarco, Esq. Mark T. Reynolds, Esq. Marc DeSisto, Esq. Curtis R. Diedrich, Esa. Stefanie DiMaio-Larivee, Esq. Mark P. Dolan, Esq. Anthony R. Leone, Esq. T. Dos Urbanski, Esq. Allen J. McCarthy, Esq. Michael J. Mazurczak, Esq. Christopher C. Fallon, Jr., Esq. Stephen P. Fogerty, Esq. Mark Hadden, Esq. Carl A. Henlein, Esq. John R. Crockett, III, Esq. Susan S. Wettle, Esq. Edward T. Hinchey, Esa. Daniel Horgan, Esq. Patrick T. Jones, Esq. Peter Schneider, Esq. Howard Julian Bruce P. Keller, Esq. Fred A. Kelly, Jr., Esq. Steven M. Richard, Esq. Ian Ridlon, Esq. Joseph Krowski, Esa. Donna M. Lamontagne, Esq. Ronald Langlois, Esq. Lauren D. Wilkins, Esq. Faith LaSalle, Esq. James R. Lee, Esa. Thomas W. Lyons, Esq. Richard W. MacAdams, Esq. John R. Mahoney, Esq. Eva Marie Mancuso, Esq. Mark S. Mandell, Esa. W. Thomas McGough, Jr., Esq. James J. Restivo, Jr., Esq. Joseph J. McGair, Esq. Edwin F. McPherson, Esq.

kbowen@bbglaw.us iburns@rms-law.com mcahill@choate.com ehermanson@m-klaw.com ivc@blishcavlaw.com ker@blishcavlaw.com ecrane@skadden.com dsolmor@skadden.com Brian@Briancunha.com Karen@Briancunha.com tdemarco@conversent.net mtreynolds@conversent.net marc@desistolaw.com cdiedrich@sloanwalsh.com fonia@msn.com ricedolank@aol.com ricedolank@aol.com StationLitigation@melicklaw.com StationLitigation@melicklaw.com StationLitigation@melicklaw.com cfallon@cozen.com fogerty@halloran-sage.com mhadden@mhaddenlaw.com chenlein@fbtlaw.com icrockett@fbtlaw.com swettle@fbtlaw.com EHinchey@sloanewalsh.com Horganlaw@snet.net pjones@cmj-law.com pschneider@cmj-law.com sohohomes@yahoo.com bkeller@debevoise.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com iosephkrowski.law@verizon.net <u>Dlamontagne@zizikpowers.com</u> rlanglois@smithbrink.com lwilkins@smithbrink.com flasalle@lasallelaw.com ilee@riag.ri.gov tlyons@straussfactor.com rmacadams@mandwlaw.com johnmahoney@amlawllp.com emancuso@hwac.com msb@msb-atty.com tmcgough@reedsmith.com <u>irestivo@reedsmith.com</u> jjm@petrarcamcqair.com emcpherson@m-klaw.com

Matthew F. Medeiros, Esq. Howard A. Merten, Esq. Benjamin V. White III, Esq. Eric M. Sommers, Esq. Steven A. Minicucci, Esq. William A. Filippo, Esq. Ralph J. Monaco, Esq. James T. Murphy, Esq. Kelly N. Michels, Esq. John J. Nazzaro, Esq. Mark T. Nugent, Esq. Paul Sullivan, Esq. J. Renn Olenn, Esq. Mark K. Ostrowski, Esq. Michael Potenza, Esq. Stephen M. Prignano, Esq. Stephen J. MacGillivray, Esq. Robert I. Reardon, Esq. Robert I. Rimmer, Esq. Charles N. Redihan, Jr., Esq. James H. Reilly, III, Esq. Donald J. Maroney, Esq. Ronald J. Resmini, Esq. Ronald J. Creamer, Esq. James A. Ruggieri, Esq. Michael A. St. Pierre, Esq. Georgia Sullivan Mark D. Tourgee, Esq. Timothy A. Williamson, Esq. Andrew J. Trevelise, Esq. Scott J. Tucker, Esq. Earl H. Walker, Esq. Charles Babcock, Esq. Nancy W. Hamilton, Esq. George E. Wolf, III, Esq. Ann Songer, Esq.

mfm@lmkbw.com hmerten.Station@vetterandwhite.com bwhite@vetterandwhite.com esommers@vetterandwhite.com sminicucci@calvinolaw.com wfilippo@calvinolaw.com rmonaco.c-l@snet.net itm@hansoncurran.com knm@hansoncurran.com attynazzaro@aol.com mnugent@morrisonmahoney.com pvsullivan@morrisonmahonev.com jro@olenn-penza.com mostrowski@goodwin.com mpotenza@debevoise.com sprignano@edwardsangell.com smacqillivray@edwardsangell.com reardonlaw@aol.com reardonlaw@aol.com credihan@kprlaw.com ireillv@kkrs.com dmaroney@kkrs.com resminilaw@vahoo.com resminilaw@yahoo.com jruggieri@hcc-law.com mikesp@rrsplaw.com georgia.sullivan@thehartford.com inmantourgeelaw@aol.com inmantourgeelaw@aol.com atrevelise@reedsmith.com stucker@ths-law.com ewalker@jw.com cbabcock@iw.com nhamilton@jw.com qwolf@shb.com asonger@shb.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

ALBERT L. GRAY, ADMINISTRATOR, ET AL

VS

C. A. NO. 04-312 L

JEFFREY DERDERIAN, ET AL

GRAY PLAINTIFFS'1 MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE OF DEFENDANT SUPERSTAR SERVICES, LLC

I. Factual Background

Plaintiff Albert Gray, Administrator, et al. ("Plaintiffs") have filed the instant motion to strike the affirmative defense of insufficiency of service of process interposed by Defendant Superstar Services, LLC ("Superstar" or "Defendant"). On November 23, 2004, Plaintiffs moved to amend their initial Master Complaint. The court granted the motion on December 16, 2004. Plaintiffs thereupon filed and served the First Amended Master Complaint which revised the original allegations and added several new parties, both plaintiff and defendant. One of the new parties was Defendant Superstar.

Plaintiffs served Superstar by sending a copy of the complaint and summons by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Defendant's agent for service, Corporation Services Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware. (See copy of summons at Exhibit A). Defendant attempted to answer the case on February 4,

¹ All Plaintiffs in <u>Gray, et al. v. Derderian, et al.</u>, C.A. No. 04-312L, by their separate counsel as indicated on the signature lines below.

2005, but failed to serve all Plaintiffs' counsel with a copy of the complaint as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5. Two of Plaintiffs' attorneys of record were inexplicably omitted from the service list and did not receive copies of the answer. On March 16, counsel for Defendant mailed a copy of the answer to the attorneys whom Defendant had previously failed to serve. (See copy of Answer with certification at Exhibit B).

Defendant's answer denied liability to Plaintiffs and also asserted twenty one affirmative defenses. The subject of this motion is Defendant's sixth defense which reads, in its entirety, "And further answering, the defendant says that there was insufficient service of process pursuant to RCP 12(b) (5)." Plaintiffs now move, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f), to strike the defense as insufficient. Plaintiffs represent that service was proper and there is no meaningful basis for asserting this defense. The purpose of this motion is to either strike the defense or divine its basis so that good service can timely be effected, if necessary. Counsel for Defendant Superstar has refused to voluntarily strike the defense, notwithstanding the apparently good return of service.

II. Argument

Federal Rule 12 (f) reads as follows:

"Motion to Strike. Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or, if no responsive pleading is permitted by these rules, upon motion made by a party within 20 days after the service of the pleading upon the party or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent or scandalous matter."

Despite a historic hesitance to grant motions to strike, courts regard such motions as the basic tools for eliminating legally insufficient defenses at an early stage of the proceedings. The grant of a meritorious motion may save time and effort that would otherwise be wasted in litigating matters that have no bearing on the case.

Narragansett Tribe of Indians v. Southern Rhode Island Land Development

Corporation, 418 F. Supp 798,801 (D.R.I., 1992).

In this case, Plaintiffs served Superstar by mailing a copy of the summons and complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the registered agent designated by Superstar in Delaware, the state in which Superstar had been incorporated. The records of the Delaware Division of Corporations list Superstar's agent as Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware. The signed green return receipt attached hereto as Exhibit C is proof of delivery.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 governs the acceptable methods for service of process. Rule 4(h) somewhat circuitously mandates the manner in which corporations may be served.

This subdivision of the Rule reads in pertinent part as follows:

"(h) Service Upon Corporations and Associations. Unless otherwise provided by federal law, service upon a domestic or foreign corporation... shall be effected:
(1) in a judicial district of the United States in the manner prescribed for individuals by subdivision (e)(1),... "

A review of Rule 4e, in turn, directs the reader to the applicable state procedural rules. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1) states:

"Unless otherwise provided by federal law, service upon an individual from whom a waiver has not been obtained and

filed, other than an infant or incompetent person, may be effected in any judicial district of the United States:

(1) pursuant to the law of the state in which the district court is located, or in which service is effected, for the service of a summons upon the defendant in an action brought in the courts of the general jurisdiction of the State;..."

An examination of the Rhode Island Rules of Civil Procedure leads to Super. R. Civ. P. 4. Service of process on out of state corporations is the subject of Super. R. Civ. P. 4 (b)(2) which reads in pertinent part as follows:

- "(f) <u>Service Outside State Within the United States; Personal Jurisdiction.</u> When an individual or foreign corporation is subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of the state, service of process may be made outside the state as follows:
- (2) Upon a foreign corporation by delivering of a copy of the summons and complaint by any interested person to the president, secretary or treasurer of such corporation or to any agent or attorney for service of process designated by the corporation in the state of incorporation or by mailing a copy of the summons and complaint to any such officer or agent or to the corporation at its business address designated in the state of incorporation by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, or by any other method ordered by the court to give such corporation notice of the action and sufficient time to prepare any defense thereto." (emphasis added)

Plaintiffs' mailing a copy of the summons and amended complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the agent for service designated by the corporate defendant in the state of incorporation conforms to the dictates of the applicable procedural rules. The signed receipt of service is clearly proof of delivery. Service of process was properly effected. The defense of insufficiency of service is unwarranted.

III. The Motion to Strike

The time for moving to strike a pleading is clearly set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f):

"Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or, if no responsive pleading is permitted under these rules, upon motion made by a party within twenty (20) days after the service of the pleading upon the party or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent or scandalous matter."

It is anticipated that the timeliness of this motion may be questioned in that many of Plaintiffs' counsel received copies of defendants' answer at the beginning of February. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a), however, requires that copies of pleadings be served upon <u>each</u> party. Service of defendant's answer was not complete until March 16 at the earliest when defendant finally provided all counsel with a copy of its answer. This motion, then, is clearly timely in view of the late service of Defendant's answer.

Courts have broad discretion in deciding motions to strike. Mastrocchio v. Unnamed Supervisor Special Invest Unit, 152 F.R.D. 439, 440-441 (D.R.I. 1993). Because the defense of insufficiency of service of process can be asserted by the defendant at any time in the proceedings, it is imperative that the sufficiency of the defense be determined by the Court before the statute of limitations expires. The Plaintiffs will be clearly prejudiced if the defense is upheld after the statute has expired. Any disfavor with which such motions might be viewed must be balanced with the Plaintiffs' need to obtain a determination of the validity of the service of process before it is too late to correct any defects.

Plaintiffs submit that service is proper, the motion to strike is timely and, for the reasons previously set forth herein, the motion to strike Defendant's sixth affirmative defense should be granted.

Plaintiff 13(d); 13(e); 17-63 inclusive; 133-190 inclusive; 225-233 inclusive; and 240
By their Attorney:

Max Wistow, Esq. (#0330)
WISTOW & BARYLICK INCORPORATED
61 Weybosset Street

Plaintiff 1-12 inclusive; 13(a)(b)(c); 14-16 inclusive; 80-132 inclusive; 222; and 223 By their Attorney:

Providence, RI 02903

Patrick T. Jones (#6636)

COOLEY MANION JONES, LLP

21 Custom House Street

Boston, MA 02110

Plaintiff 64; 65(a); 66-68 inclusive; 192-195 inclusive By their Attorney:

Stephen E. Breggia, Esq. (#2865) BREGGIA, BOWEN & GRANDE 395 Smith Street Providence, RI 02908 Plaintiff 13(d); 13(e); 17-63 inclusive; 133-190 inclusive; 225-233 inclusive; and 240
By their Attorney:

Mous D. Madell (JP3)
Mark S. Mandell, Esq. (#0502)
MANDELL, SCHWARTZ & BOISCLAIR
One Park Row
Providence, RI 02903

Plaintiff 65(b); 71-75 inclusive; 196-215, inclusive; 224; and 234-237, inclusive By their Attorney:

Michael A. St. Pierre, Esq. (#2553)

REVENS, REVENS & ST. PIERRE

946 Centerville Road

Warwick, RI 02886

Plaintiff 76-79, inclusive; 215-221, inclusive; and 238 By their Attorney:

Steven A. Minicucci, Esq. (#4155) CALVINO LAW ASSOCIATES 373 Elmwood Avenue Providence, RI 02907 Plaintiff 69; 70; and 199 By their Attorney:

Ca Marie Marcins Cors

Eva Marie Mancuso, Esq. (#3564)

HAMEL, WAXLER, ALLEN & COLLINS

387 Atwells Avenue

Providence, RI 02909

Plaintiff 191 and 239 By their Attorney;

Charles M. Reditan (JPB)

Charles N. Redihan, Esq. (#1810)

KIERNAN, PLUNKETT & REDIHAN

91 Friendship Street Providence, RI 02903

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that an exact copy of the within document was electronically mailed to the following individuals on this 57% day of 2005.

Thomas C. Angelone, Esq. C. Russell Bengtson, Esq.

Gregory L. Boyer, Esq.

Stephen E. Breggia, Esq.

Kevin F. Bowen, Esq.

Joseph B. Burns, Esq.

Mark D. Cahill, Esq.

Eric Bradford Hermanson, Esq.

Joseph V. Cavanagh, Jr., Esq.

Kristin E. Rodgers, Esq.

Edward M. Crane, Esq.

Deborah Solmor, Esq

Brian Cunha, Esq.

Karen A. Alegria, Esq.

Anthony F. DeMarco, Esq.

Mark T. Reynolds, Esq

Marc DeSisto, Esq.

Curtis R. Diedrich, Esq.

Stefanie DiMaio-Larivee, Esq.

Mark P. Dolan, Esq.

Anthony R. Leone, Esq.

T. Dos Urbanski, Esq.

Allen J. McCarthy, Esq.

Michael J. Mazurczak, Esq.

Christopher C. Fallon, Jr., Esq.

Stephen P. Fogerty, Esq.

Mark Hadden, Esq.

Carl A. Henlein, Esq.

John R. Crockett, III, Esq.

Susan S. Wettle, Esq.

angelonelaw@aol.com

rbengtson@ckmlaw.com

boyerlaw1@aol.com

sbreggia@bbglaw.com

kbowen@bbglaw.us

jburns@rms-law.com

mcahill@choate.com

ehermanson@m-klaw.com

jvc@blishcavlaw.com

ker@blishcavlaw.com

ecrane@skadden.com

dsolmor@skadden.com Brian@Briancunha.com

Karen@Briancunha.com

tdemarco@conversent.net

mtreynolds@conversent.net

marc@desistolaw.com

cdiedrich@sloanwalsh.com

fonia@msn.com

ricedolank@aol.com

ricedolank@aol.com

StationLitigation@melicklaw.com

StationLitigation@melicklaw.com

StationLitigation@melicklaw.com

cfallon@cozen.com

fogerty@halloran-sage.com

mhadden@mhaddenlaw.com

chenlein@fbtlaw.com

jcrockett@fbtlaw.com

swettle@fbtlaw.com

Edward T. Hinchey, Esq. Daniel Horgan, Esq. Patrick T. Jones, Esq. Peter Schneider, Esq. Howard Julian Bruce P. Keller, Esq. Fred A. Kelly, Jr., Esq. Steven M. Richard, Esq. Ian Ridlon, Esq. Joseph Krowski, Esq. Donna M. Lamontagne, Esq. Ronald Langlois, Esq. Lauren D. Wilkins, Esq. Faith LaSalle, Esq. James R. Lee, Esq. Thomas W. Lyons, Esq. Richard W. MacAdams, Esq. John R. Mahoney, Esq. Eva Marie Mancuso, Esq. Mark S. Mandell, Esq. W. Thomas McGough, Jr., Esq. James J. Restivo, Jr., Esq. Joseph J. McGair, Esq. Edwin F. McPherson, Esq. Matthew F. Medeiros, Esq. Howard A. Merten, Esq. Benjamin V. White III, Esq. Eric M. Sommers, Esq. Steven A. Minicucci, Esq. William A. Filippo, Esq. Ralph J. Monaco, Esq. James T. Murphy, Esq. Kelly N. Michels, Esq. John J. Nazzaro, Esq. Mark T. Nugent, Esq. Paul Sullivan, Esq. J. Renn Olenn, Esq. Mark K. Ostrowski, Esq. Michael Potenza, Esq. Stephen M. Prignano, Esq. Stephen J. MacGillivray, Esq. Robert I. Reardon, Esq. Robert I. Rimmer, Esq. Charles N. Redihan, Jr., Esq. James H. Reilly, III, Esq. Donald J. Maroney, Esq. Ronald J. Resmini, Esq. Ronald J. Creamer, Esq.

EHinchev@sloanewalsh.com Horganlaw@snet.net piones@cmi-law.com pschneider@cmj-law.com sohohomes@yahoo.com bkeller@debevoise.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com StationFireLitigation@nixonpeabody.com iosephkrowski.law@verizon.net Dlamontagne@zizikpowers.com rlanglois@smithbrink.com lwilkins@smithbrink.com flasalle@lasallelaw.com ilee@riaq.ri.gov tlyons@straussfactor.com rmacadams@mandwlaw.com johnmahoney@amlawllp.com emancuso@hwac.com msb@msb-atty.com tmcqough@reedsmith.com irestivo@reedsmith.com jjm@petrarcamcgair.com emcpherson@m-klaw.com mfm@lmkbw.com hmerten.Station@vetterandwhite.com bwhite@vetterandwhite.com esommers@vetterandwhite.com sminicucci@calvinolaw.com wfilippo@calvinolaw.com rmonaco.c-l@snet.net itm@hansoncurran.com knm@hansoncurran.com attynazzaro@aol.com mnugent@morrisonmahoney.com pvsullivan@morrisonmahoney.com jro@olenn-penza.com mostrowski@goodwin.com mpotenza@debevoise.com sprignano@edwardsangell.com smacqillivray@edwardsangell.com reardonlaw@aol.com reardonlaw@aol.com credihan@kprlaw.com ireilly@kkrs.com dmaroney@kkrs.com resminilaw@yahoo.com resminilaw@yahoo.com

James A. Ruggieri, Esq.
Michael A. St. Pierre, Esq.
Georgia Sullivan
Mark D. Tourgee, Esq.
Timothy A. Williamson, Esq.
Andrew J. Trevelise, Esq.
Scott J. Tucker, Esq.
Earl H. Walker, Esq.
Charles Babcock, Esq.
Nancy W. Hamilton, Esq.
George E. Wolf, III, Esq.
Ann Songer, Esq.

jruggieri@hcc-law.com
mikesp@rrsplaw.com
georgia.sullivan@thehartford.com
inmantourgeelaw@aol.com
inmantourgeelaw@aol.com
atrevelise@reedsmith.com
stucker@ths-law.com
ewalker@jw.com
cbabcock@jw.com
nhamilton@jw.com
gwolf@shb.com
asonger@shb.com

Davia Ja

F:\Fire Cases #6207\PLEADINGS - GENERAL CASE\Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Strike Affirmative Defense.doc

KIERNAN, PLUNKETT & REDIHAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE REMINGTON BUILDING
91 FRIENDSHIP STREET
PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02903
TELEPHONE (401) 831-2900
FAX (401) 331-7123
E-MAIL INFO@KPRLAW.COM

LEONARD A. KIERNAN, JR.
THOMAS C. PLUNKETT
CHARLES N. REDIHAN, JR.
BERNARD P. HEALY
MICHAEL S. KIERNAN
GIOVANNI LA TERRA BELLINA
HARMONY A. CONTI

EDWARD J. PLUNKETT

(1940 - 1991)

OF COUNSEL:

JOHN A. DESANO

MICHAEL R. CALISE

ROBERT D. VALVO

December 23, 2004

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Corporation Services Company A.F.S. Superstar Services, LLC 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808

Re: Albert L. Gray, administrator, et al

Vs: Jeffrey Derderian, et al

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is a copy of the summons and complaint which is hereby served upon you in accordance with Rule 4h(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

You will note that you are required to serve an answer to this complaint within twenty (20) days from the day it is served. However, if your attorneys require additional time,

you may have them contact this office.

Very truly yours,

Charles N. Redihan, Jr.

CNR:SH Enclosure

e-mail: credihan@kprlaw.com

1	U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)				
1632	Article Sent To:				
3028	Postage Certified Fee	\$	12.23-04 Postmark		
2000	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		Here		
0016	Total Postage & Fees Name (Please Print Clear)	\$ y, to be completed by mails	er)		
7099	Name (Please Print Clearly), to be completed by mailer) Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No. City, State, ZIP+4				
D. ANDREWS	PS Form 3800, July 1999		See Reverse for Instructions		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	FOR THE	District of	RHODE ISLAND	
ALBERT L. GRAY,	, ADMINISTRATOR,	ET AL		
JEFFREY DERDERI	V. IAN, ET AL		SUMMONS IN A CIV	IL CASE
	·	CASEN	TUMBER: 04 312L	
TO: (Name	ne and address of Defendant) A.F.S.	Superstar Se	ille Road, Suite 400	
Please refer t Committee atta	to the names and ac ached hereto as Ext ibit A attached her	ddresses of Stat nibit A who repi	PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (tion Fire Plaintiffs' S resent the respective p complaint which is	teering
identified for			the plaintiffs' attorn I in Exhibit A attached	
	exclusive of the day of se in the complaint. Any ar	ervice. If you fail to nswer that you serve	ns, within twenty (20) do so, judgment by default wil on the parties to this action i	—
		/ /_	2/23/04	



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

*

ALBERT L. GRAY, Administrator,*
ET AL, *

Plaintiffs,

*

vs.

CIVIL ACTION

No. 04-312-L

JEFFREY DERDERIAN, ET AL, Defendants.

*

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ANSWER AND JURY CLAIM OF THE DEFENDANT, SUPERSTAR SERVICES LLC, TO THE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT

The defendant, Superstar Services LLC, in the above-captioned matter, hereby make this its answer to the plaintiffs' first amended master complaint.

FIRST DEFENSE

PARTIES

Plaintiffs .

1-240. The defendant is presently without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 240 and call upon the plaintiffs to prove the same.

241-270. No responses are required to paragraphs 241 through 270.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS

271. The defendant is presently without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegations contained in paragraph 271 and calls upon the plaintiffs to prove the same.

ALLEGATIONS AGAINST OTHER NAMED DEFENDANTS AND COUNT I THROUGH COUNT LXXVI

272-685. Paragraphs 272 through 685 are not directed to this defendant and therefore does not require a response; however, in the event that these paragraphs are read to be applicable to this defendant, the defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

COUNT LXXVII

- 686. The defendant incorporates herein by reference their answers to paragraphs 1 through 271 and 680 through 685 and make them its answer to paragraph 686 of Count LXXVII.
- 687. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 687 of Count LXXVII.

WHEREFORE, the defendants demand that the plaintiffs' complaint against them be dismissed and that judgment enter for the defendants, together with their costs.

SUPERSTAR SERVICES LLC

- 688. The defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 688 of Count LXXVII.
- 689. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 689.
- 690. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 690.
- 691. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 691.

WHEREFORE, the defendant demands that the plaintiffs' complaint against it be dismissed and that judgment enter for the defendant, together with its costs.

COUNT LXXVIII

- 692. The defendant incorporates herein by reference its answers to paragraphs 1 through 271 and 688 through 691 and make them its answer to paragraph 692 of Count LXXVIII.
- 693. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 693 of Count LXXVIII.

WHEREFORE, the defendant demands that the plaintiffs' complaint against it be dismissed and that judgment enter for the defendant, together with its costs.

COUNT LXXIX

- 694. The defendant incorporates herein by reference its answers to paragraphs 1 through 271 and 688 through 691 and make them its answer to paragraph 694 of Count LXXIX.
- 695. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 695 of Count LXXIX.

WHEREFORE, the defendant demands that the plaintiffs' complaint against it be dismissed and that judgment enter for the defendant, together with its costs.

COUNT LXXX THROUGH COUNT LXXXI

Paragraphs 696 through 706 of Counts LXXX through LXXXI are not directed to this defendant and therefore does not require a response; however, in the event that these Counts are read to be applicable to this defendant, the defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

SECOND DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the acts complained of were not committed by a person and/or entity for whose conduct the defendant was legally responsible.

THIRD DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the plaintiffs were not in the exercise of due care, but rather the negligence of the plaintiffs contributed to or caused the injury

or damage complained of, wherefore, the recovery of the plaintiffs is barred in whole or is subject to diminution under the comparative negligence law of the State of Rhode Island.

FOURTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the cause of action is barred by reason of the Statute of Limitations.

FIFTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the court does not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant, wherefore, the defendant requests that this action be dismissed pursuant to R.C.P. 12(b)(2).

SIXTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that there was insufficient service of process pursuant to R.C.P. 12(b)(5).

SEVENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to R.C.P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to R.C.P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, insofar as the plaintiffs have failed to give notice of any claim and/or unsafe condition as required by law, and the defendant was thereby prejudiced, wherefore the plaintiffs are barred from recovery.

NINTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant states that they had no duty at law or common law to the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents, wherefore the plaintiffs are barred from recovery.

TENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that to the extent

that it had any obligations to the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents, such obligations have been fully, completely and properly performed in every respect.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

The defendant states that there was no negligence, gross negligence, willful, wanton, or malicious misconduct, reckless indifference or reckless disregard of the rights of the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents, or malice (actual, legal or otherwise) on the part of the defendant as to the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents herein.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that if the plaintiffs prove that the defendant was involved in any of the transactions alleged in the plaintiffs' complaint, the defendant acted in good faith at all times with respect to those transactions, and the plaintiffs are therefore barred from recovery.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that if the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents were injured or damaged as alleged, the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents assumed the risk of such injuries or damage.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that they are not liable to the extent that the plaintiffs' losses were a result of an unforeseeable intervening and/or superceding civil or criminal act or acts.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that if the plaintiffs and/or plaintiffs' decedents sustained injuries and/or damages as alleged in the complaint, such injuries and/or damages were caused by the intervening and superseding acts of third persons, which acts the defendant did not and reasonably could not foresee and/or are remote.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that in the event that the named plaintiffs are determined not to have standing to bring this action, defendant asserts such lack of standing as an affirmative defense.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that in the event that this defendant is determined to be a joint tortfeasor, defendant asserts that they are entitled to an allocation of relative degree of fault among all joint-tortfeasors so that the pro-rata share of each defendant can be determined in accordance with the Rhode Island Uniform Contribution Among Tortfeasors Act, R.I.G.L. § 10-6-1, et seq.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the plaintiffs have failed to join a party or parties necessary for the just adjudication of this matter and have further omitted to state any reason for such failure.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant says that the plaintiffs' claims are barred by estoppel or waiver.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the plaintiffs are guilty of laches in bringing this action and are therefore barred from recovery.

TWENTY FIRST DEFENSE

And further answering, the defendant says that the plaintiffs' and/or plaintiff decedents' employer or employers were negligent with respect to the matters set forth in the First Amended Master Complaint, that such negligence caused in whole or in part the damages claimed to have been sustained as set forth in the First Amended Master Complaint and that the plaintiffs or plaintiff decedents claiming such damages received workmen's compensation benefits from his or her employer or employers.

Therefore, even if the plaintiffs are entitled to recover against the defendant, which the defendant specifically denies, they are not entitled to recover in the amount set forth in the First Amended Master Complaint because the defendant is entitled to set off any and all the aforesaid workmen's compensation payments against any judgment which might be rendered in the plaintiffs' favor.

TWENTY SECOND DEFENSE

The defendant incorporates by reference any and all affirmative defenses asserted by any other defendant not specifically set forth in its Answer and affirmative defenses.

JURY CLAIM

THE DEFENDANTS HEREBY MAKE CLAIM FOR A TRIAL BY JURY.

SUPERSTAR SERVICES, LLC. By its attorney,

T. Dos Urbanski

Bar No. 6440

MELICK, PORTER & SHEA, LLP

Two Richmond Square - Suite 104

Providence, RI 02906

Tel: 401-941-0909

Fax: 401-941-6269

Email: durbanski@melicklaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, T. Dos Urbanski, hereby certify that on this day, I forwarded notice of the foregoing document(s) by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following:

Thomas C. Angelone, Esq. HODOSH, SPINELLA & ANGELONE, P.C. One Turks Head Place, Suite 1050 Providence, RI 02903

C. Russell Bengtson, Esq. CARROLL, KELLY & MURPHY One Turks Head Place, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903

Gregory L. Boyer, Esq. 170 Westminster Street, Suite 200 Providence, RI 02908

Stephen E. Breggia, Esq. BREGGIA, BOWEN & GRANDE 395 Smith Street Providence, RI 02908

Mark D. Cahill, Esq. Eric Bradford Hermanson, Esq. CHOATE, HALL & STEWART Exchange Place, 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Joseph V. Cavanagh, Jr., Esq. Kristin E. Rodgers, Esq. BLISH & CAVANAGH, LLP 30 Exchange Terrace Providence, RI 02903

Edward M. Crane, Esq.
Deborah Solmor, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

Michael R. DeLuca, Esq. GIDLEY, SARLI & MARUSAK, LLP One Turks Head Place, Suite 900 Providence, RI 02903

Anthony F. DeMarco, Esq. Mark Reynolds, Esq. REYNOLDS, DEMARCO & BOLAND, LTD. 170 Westminster Street, Suite 200 Providence, RI 02903

Gerald C. DeMaria, Esq. James A. Ruggieri, Esq. HIGGINS, CAVANAGH & COONEY The Hay Building 123 Dyer Street Providence, RI 02903

Marc DeSisto, Esq. DESISTO LAW 211 Angell Street P.O. Box 2563 Providence, RI 02901-2563

Curtis R. Diedrich, Esq. SLOANE & WALSH, LLP 127 Dorrance Street Providence, RI 02903-2808

Mark P. Dolan, Esq. RICE, DOLAN & KERSHAW 170 Westminster Street, Suite 900 Providence, RI 02903

Stephen P. Fogerty, Esq. HALLORAN & SAGE LLP 315 Post Road West Westport, CT 06880

Mark Hadden, Esq. 68 Kennedy Plaza, Suite 3 Providence, RI 02903

Carl A. Henlein, Esq. John R. Crockett, III, Esq. Susan S. Wettle, Esq. FROST BROWN TODD 400 West Market Street, 32nd Floor Louisville, KY 40202-3363

Patrick T. Jones, Esq. COOLEY MANION JONES, LLP 21 Custom House Street Boston, MA 02110

Howard Julian, Esq. 570 Shermantown Road North Kingstown, RI 02874

Fred A. Kelly, Jr. Esq. Randall L. Souza, Esq. Ian Ridlon, Esq. NIXON PEABODY, LLP One Citizens Plaza, Suite 700 Providence, RI 02903

Ronald Langlois, Esq. Lauren D. Wilkins, Esq. SMITH & BRINK One State Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02908

James R. Lee, Esq. Department of Attorney General 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903 Thomas W. Lyons, Esq. STRAUSS, FACTOR, LAING & LYONS 222 Richmond Street, Suite 208 Providence, RI 02903-2914

Richard W. MacAdams, Esq. MACADAMS & WIECK INCORPORATED 101 Dyer Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903

John R. Mahoney, Esq. ASQUITH & MAHONEY, LLP 155 South Main Street, 2nd Floor Providence, RI 02903

Eva Marie Mancuso, Esq. HAMEL, WAXLER, ALLEN & COLLINS 387 Atwells Avenue Providence, RI 02909

Mark S. Mandell, Esq. MANDELL, SCHWARTZ & BOISCLAIR One Park Row Providence, RI 02903

W. Thomas McGough, Jr., Esq. James J. Restivo, Jr., Esq. REED SMITH LLP 435 Sixth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Edwin F. McPherson, Esq. MCPHERSON & KALMANSOHN, LLP 1801 Century Park East, 24th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Howard A. Merten, Esq. Eric M. Sommers, Esq. VETTER & WHITE 20 Washington Place Providence, RI 02903

Steven A. Minicucci, Esq. William A. Filippo, Esq. CALVINO LAW ASSOCIATES 373 Elmwood Avenue Providence, RI 02907

James T. Murphy, Esq. Kelly N. Michels, Esq. HANSON CURRAN, LLP 146 Westminster Street Providence, RI 02903

Mark T. Nugent, Esq. Paul Sullivan, Esq. MORRISON MAHONEY LLP 121 South Main Street, Suite 600 Providence, RI 02903

Stephen M. Prignano, Esq. Stephen J. MacGillivray, Esq.

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP 2800 Financial Plaza Providence, RI 02903

Charles N. Redihan, Jr., Esq. KIERNAN, PLUNKETT & REDIHAN 91 Friendship Street Providence, RI 02903

James H. Reilly, Esq.
Donald J. Maroney, Esq.
KELLY, KELLEHER, REILLY & SIMPSON
146 Westminster Street, Suite 500
Providence, RI 02903

Michael A. St. Pierre, Esq. REVENS, REVENS & ST. PIERRE, P.C. 946 Centerville Road Warwick, RI 02886

Andrew J. Trevelise, Esq. REED SMITH LLP 2500 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103-7301

Earl H. Walker, Esq. Charles Babcock, Esq. Nancy W. Hamilton, Esq. JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 McKinney, Suite 1900 Houston, TX 77010

George E. Wolf, III, Esq. Ann Songer, Esq. SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64105-2118

T. Dos Urbanski

Date:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, T. Dos Urbanski, hereby certify that on this day, I forwarded notice of the foregoing document(s) by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following:

Max H. Wistow, Esq. John P. Barylick, Esq. WISTOW & BARYLICK, INC. 61 Weybosset Street Providence, RI 02903

Date:

	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the maliplece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: COTP Services CO	A Signature X X X M: Correct Agent B Received by (Printed Name): C. Date of Delivery B. Received by (Printed Name): C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes. If YES, enter delivery address below: No		
Corp Services AFS Superstar Services 2711 Centerville Rd, Suit Wilmington, DE 19808	Be aloo 3. Service Type Express Mail Registered Receipt for Merchandise Insured Mail C.O.D.		
2. Article Number 7099 (Transfer from service label) 7099 PS Form 3811, August 2001 Dome	-3400 0007 3028 1632 estic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-15		